REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY

A telephonic interview was conducted on March 16, 2010 with Examiner Taylor, Examiner Hirl, and the Applicants' undersigned representative.

Applicants' undersigned representative discussed amendments for independent Claim 1. Further amendments to independent Claim 1 was proposed on March 17, 2010. Examiner Taylor agreed that the claim amendments filed herewith appear to overcome the rejections of record.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-30 are rejected, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as allegedly being unpatentable over Reitmeier (U.S. Patent No. 6,115,080) (hereinafter Reitmeier) in view of West et al., (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0110514) (hereinafter West). As presented above, the claim amendments of independent Claim 1 overcome the rejections of record. Independent Claims 9, 17 and 23 have been amended with similar limitations as independent Claim 1 and are patentable for similar reasons. Dependent claims are patentable by virtue of their dependency. As such, allowance of Claims 1-30 is earnestly solicited.

For the above reasons, the Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103.

 SONY-50R4614.CIP
 15
 Art Unit: 4157

 US App. No.: 10/806,615
 Examiner: Joshua Taylor

CONCLUSION

In light of the above listed remarks, reconsideration of the rejected claims is requested. Based on the arguments presented above, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 1-30 overcome the rejections of record and, therefore, allowance of Claims 1-30 is earnestly solicited.

Please charge any additional fees or apply any credits to our PTO deposit account number: 50-4160.

Respectfully submitted, MURABITO, HAO & BARNES LLP

Dated: <u>4-9-2010</u> /Amir A. Tabarrok/

Amir A. Tabarrok Registration No. 57,137

MURABITO, HAO & BARNES LLP Two North Market Street Third Floor San Jose, California 95113

Art Unit: 4157

Examiner: Joshua Taylor

(408) 938-9060 Voice (408) 938-9069 Facsimile